2012 Annual Report



Report to Policyholders

It is my pleasure, on behalf of the Board of Directors of West Elgin Mutual Insurance Company, to present to you, the policyholders, our 2012 Annual Report and Financial Statement.

As you review the results of the Company you will notice a modest increase in premium written and a very solid underwriting profit. Again in 2012 the severity of claims was below normal even though we responded to a typical number of losses. After careful consideration to the financial strength of the Company, the Board of Directors has approved a refund of premium in the amount of \$750,000.

Investment income for the current year increased from 2011 with much of the change being driven by the change in market value of the portfolio. We remain in a low interest rate environment which will put pressure on the expected yield coming from bonds. Future volatility in returns on the portfolio is anticipated, but with an excellent surplus account, your Company is in a position to weather that particular storm. Good planning and foresight of the current and past Boards has resulted in a very financially secure Mutual insurance company.

During 2012 the Board went through further renewal as two more long serving Directors retired. Jim House was appointed to the Board in 1989 and stepped down in March. Mac Ford, who had served since 1993 stepped down in September. On behalf of the Board and policyholders I would like to express thanks to both these gentlemen for their leadership and guidance over all those years. Following a thorough search two new Board members were added. Nick Doelman commenced his first term at the Annual Meeting in March, and Ruleen Lilley was appointed in November. We look forward to their contributions to the governance of the Company and appreciate their willingness to offer their knowledge and experience.

In conclusion I would like to thank the Board for allowing me to serve as the Chairman. It has been an honour and a privilege to represent West Elgin Mutual whenever the opportunity arose this past 12 months.

Finally I would like to invite all policyholders to the Annual Meeting on March 27th at the Dutton-Dunwich Community Centre beginning at 7:00 p.m.

Larry McLeod Chairman of the Board of Directors

WEST ELGIN MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2012	

DECEMBER 31, 2012

CONTENTS

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Financial Position	1
Statement of Comprehensive Income	2
Statement Policyholders' Surplus	3
Statement of Cash Flows	4
Notes to the Financial Statements	5 - 34



Bailey
Kearney
Fergusons

PARTNERS

STEPHEN J. OUTRIDGE, C.A. KEVIN M. SABOURIN, C.A. JAMES D. KEARNEY, C.A.(RET.)

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Policyholders of West Elgin Mutual Insurance Company

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of West Elgin Mutual Insurance Company, which comprise the statement of financial position as at December 31, 2012, and the statements of comprehensive income, policyholders' surplus and cash flows for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of West Elgin Mutual Insurance Company as at December 31, 2012, and of its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

February 20, 2013 Wallaceburg, Ontario Bailey Kearney Fergusen LLP
Chartered Accountants
Licensed Public Accountants



(Incorporated under the Laws of Ontario)

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

AS AT DECEMBER 31, 2012

ASSETS

	2012	2011
CURRENT		
Cash and bank	\$ 2,936,966	\$ 1,871,068
Accrued investment income	85,681	79,528
Investments (Note 4)	26,867,941	24,823,712
Due from reinsurer (Note 6)	16,056	3,556
Premiums receivable	2,350,155	2,245,639
Reinsurers' share of provision for unpaid claims (Note 6)	6,872,575	9,298,699
Deferred policy acquisition expenses (Note 6)	541,675	514,032
	39,671,049	38,836,234
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (Note 5)	1,600,951	1,669,607
	\$ 41,272,000	\$ 40,505,841

LIABILITIES

	2012	2011
Provision for unpaid claims (Note 6)	\$ 13,324,033	\$ 15,321,289
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	512,133	519,118
Unearned premiums (Note 6)	4,972,126	4,733,612
Income taxes payable	168,449	32,288
Accrued premium refund	750,000	500,000
Current portion of long-term debt	-	4,801
	19,726,741	21,111,108
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (Note 8)	15,000	15,000
POLICYHOLDERS' SURPLUS		
POLICYHOLDERS' SURPLUS	21,530,259	19,379,733
	\$ 41,272,000	\$ 40,505,841

APPROVED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD

Jom Cegema, Director

M. Joldersma, Director

STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEA	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012			
	2012	2011		
GROSS INSURANCE PREMIUMS WRITTEN	\$ 10,725,777	\$ 10,171,955		
REINSURANCE PREMIUMS	1,718,157	1,847,275		
NET PREMIUMS WRITTEN	9,007,620	8,324,680		
INCREASE IN PROVISION FOR UNEARNED PREMIUMS	238,514	344,329		
NET PREMIUMS EARNED	8,769,106	7,980,351		
SERVICE FEES	148,126	137,510		
TOTAL UNDERWRITING REVENUE	8,917,232	8,117,861		
DIRECT LOSSES INCURRED Gross claims and adjustment expenses Less reinsurers' share of claims and adjustment expenses	4,649,400 (943,103)	4,143,953 (240,822)		
	3,706,297	3,903,131		
	5,210,935	4,214,730		
EXPENSES Fees, commissions and other acquisition expenses (Note 9) Other operating and administrative expenses (Note 10)	1,271,071 1,926,828	1,186,129 1,766,476		
	3,197,899	2,952,605		
UNDERWRITING GAIN BEFORE PREMIUM REFUND	2,013,036	1,262,125		
PREMIUM REFUND TO POLICYHOLDERS	750,000	500,000		
UNDERWRITING INCOME	1,263,036	762,125		
INVESTMENT INCOME (Note 11)	1,287,235	705,851		
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	2,550,271	1,467,976		
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES (Note 8)	399,745	230,674		
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE YEAR	\$ 2,150,526	\$ 1,237,302		

STATEMENT OF POLICYHOLDERS' SURPLUS

	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012					
	2012	2011				
BALANCE, beginning of the year	\$ 19,379,733	\$ 18,142,431				
Comprehensive income for the year	2,150,526	1,237,302				
BALANCE end of the year	\$ 21 530 259	\$ 19 379 733				

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

	2012	2011
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Comprehensive income for the year	\$ 2,150,526 \$	1,237,302
Items not requiring cash	•	
Depreciation	97,622	102,912
Amortization of premium on bonds	60,430	53,361
Realized loss (gain) on disposal of investments	39,121	(11,651)
Unrealized losses (gains) on investments	(580,087)	378,473
	1,767,612	1,760,397
Net change in non-cash working capital balances	•	
Accrued investment income	(6,153)	2,245
Due from reinsurer	(12,500)	2,230
Income taxes recoverable	-	39,771
Reinsurers' share of provision for unpaid claims	2,426,124	23,564
Premiums receivable	(104,516)	(177,554)
Unearned premiums	238,514	344,329
Provision for unpaid claims	(1,997,256)	333,432
Deferred policy acquisition expenses	(27,643)	27,462
Income taxes payable	136,161	32,288
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(6,985)	110,229
Accrued premium refund	250,000	500,000
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,663,358	2,998,393
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from sale of investments	2,182,742	1,464,930
Purchase of investments	(3,746,435)	(3,427,623)
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(37,441)	(106,695)
Proceeds on sale of property, plant and equipment	8,475	<u>-</u>
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,592,659)	(2,069,388)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Repayment of long-term debt	(4,801)	(9,602)
Cash used in financing activities	(4,801)	(9,602)
INCREASE IN CASH AND BANK, during the year	1,065,898	919,403
CASH AND BANK, beginning of the year	1,871,068	951,665
CASH AND BANK, end of the year	\$ 2,936,966 \$	1,871,068

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

REPORTING ENTITY

West Elgin Mutual Insurance Company is incorporated under the laws of Ontario and is subject to the Ontario Insurance Act. It is licensed to write property, liability, automobile and farmers' accident insurance in Ontario. The company's head office is located in Dutton, Ontario.

The company is subject to rate regulation in the automobile business that it writes. Before automobile insurance rates can be changed, a rate filing is prepared as a combined filing for most Ontario Farm Mutuals by the Farm Mutual Reinsurance Plan Inc. The rate filing must include actuarial justification for rate increases or decreases. All rate filings are approved or denied by the Financial Services Commission of Ontario. Rate regulation may affect the automobile revenues that are earned by the company. The actual impact of rate regulation would depend on the competitive environment at the time.

These financial statements have been authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on February 20, 2013.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (the IASB).

These financial statements were prepared under the historical cost convention, as modified by the revaluation of financial instruments designated as fair value through profit and loss.

The company's functional and presentation currency is the Canadian dollar. The financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars.

The preparation of financial statements in compliance with IFRS requires management to make certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise judgment in applying the company's accounting policies. The areas involving a higher degree of judgment of complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the financial statements are disclosed in Note 2.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

INSURANCE CONTRACTS

The company accounts for insurance contracts in accordance with IFRS 4.

Balances arising from insurance contracts primarily include unearned premiums, provision for unpaid claims and adjustment expenses, reinsurers' share of provision for unpaid claims and adjustment expenses, deferred policy acquisition expenses, and salvage and subrogation recoverable.

(a) PREMIUMS AND UNEARNED PREMIUMS

Premiums written comprise the premiums on contracts incepting in the financial year. Premiums written are stated gross of commission payable to agents and exclusive of taxes levied on premiums.

The company earns premiums on income evenly over the term of the insurance policy generally using the pro rata method. The portion of the premium related to the unexpired portion of the policy at the end of the fiscal year is reflected in unearned premiums.

(b) REINSURANCE

The company reflects reinsurance balances on the statement of financial position on a gross basis to indicate the extent of credit risk related to reinsurance and its obligations to policyholders and on a net basis in the statement of comprehensive income to indicate the results of its retention of premiums written.

Reinsurance premiums ceded and reinsurance recoveries on losses incurred are recorded as reductions of the respective income and expense accounts. A contingent liability exists with respect to reinsurance ceded which could become a liability of the company in the event that the reinsurer might be unable to meet its obligation under the reinsurance agreements. The company ascertained that no provision is necessary at December 31 for doubtful collection of reinsurance recoveries.

(c) DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION EXPENSES

Acquisition costs are comprised of agents' commissions. These costs are deferred and amortized over the terms of the related policies to the extent that they are considered to be recoverable from unearned premiums, after considering the related anticipated claims and expenses.

(d) PROVISION FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

Individual loss estimates are provided on each claim reported. In addition, provisions are made for adjustment expenses, changes in reported claims and for claims incurred but not reported, based on past experience and business in force. The estimates are regularly reviewed and updated, and any resulting adjustments are included in current income.

Claim liabilities are carried on an undiscounted basis.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(e) LIABILITY ADEQUACY TEST

At each reporting date the company performs a liability adequacy test on its insurance liabilities less deferred policy acquisition expenses to ensure the carrying value is adequate, using current estimates of future cash flows, taking into account the relevant investment return. If that assessment shows that the carrying amount of the liabilities is inadequate, any deficiency is recognized as an expense to the income statement initially by writing off the deferred policy acquisition expense and subsequently by recognizing an additional claims liability for claims provisions.

(f) REINSURERS' SHARE OF PROVISIONS FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

The company enters into reinsurance contracts in the normal course of business in order to limit potential losses arising from certain exposures. Reinsurance premiums are accounted for in the same period as the related premiums for the direct insurance business being reinsured. Reinsurance liabilities, comprised of premiums payable for the purchase of reinsurance contracts, are included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and are recognized as an expense when due.

Expected reinsurance recoveries on unpaid claims and adjustment expenses are recognized as assets at the same time and using principles consistent with the company's method for establishing the related liability.

(g) SALVAGE AND SUBROGATION RECOVERABLE

In the normal course of business, the company obtains the ownership of damaged property, which they resell to various salvage operations. Unsold property is valued at its estimated net realizable value.

Where the company indemnifies policyholders against a liability claim, it acquires rights to subrogate its claim against other parties. These claims are reflected at amounts expected to be received from the subrogated parties net of related costs.

(h) REFUND FROM PREMIUM

Under the discretion of the board of directors the company may declare a refund to its policyholders based on the premiums paid in the fiscal period.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

STRUCTURED SETTLEMENTS, FIRE MUTUALS GUARANTEE FUND AND FINANCIAL GUARANTEE CONTRACTS

The company enters into annuity agreements with various life insurance companies to provide for fixed and recurring payments to claimants. Under such arrangements, the company's liability to its claimants is substantially transferred, although the company remains exposed to the credit risk that life insurers fail to fulfill their obligations.

The company is a member of the Fire Mutuals Guarantee Fund ("the Fund"). The Fund was established to provide payment of outstanding policyholders' claims if a member company becomes bankrupt. As a result, the company may be required to contribute assets to their proportionate share in meeting this objective.

These exposures represent financial guarantee contracts. The company accounts for financial guarantee contracts in accordance with IFRS 4, Insurance Contracts.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The company classifies its financial instruments into one of the following categories based on the purpose for which the asset was acquired or liability incurred. All transactions related to financial instruments are recorded on a trade date basis. The company's accounting policy for each category is as follows:

Held-to-maturity financial assets

If the company has the positive intent and ability to hold debt securities to maturity, then such financial assets are classified as held-to-maturity. Held-to-maturity financial assets are recognized initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transactions costs. Subsequent to initial recognition held-to-maturity financial assets are measured at amortized costs using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses. Any sale or reclassification of a more than insignificant amount of held-to-maturity investments not close to their maturity would result in the reclassification of all held-to-maturity investments as fair value through profit and loss, and prevent the company from classifying investment securities as held-to-maturity for the current and the following two financial years.

Fair value through profit and loss

The company does not have any instruments that are held for trading purposes; however, management has designated to voluntarily classify its investments at fair value through profit and loss. These instruments are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in comprehensive income. Transaction costs on these instruments are expensed as incurred. Interest on debt securities classified as fair value through profit and loss is calculated using the effective interest method.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Loans and Receivables

These assets are non-derivative financial assets resulting from the delivery of cash or other assets by a lender to a borrower in return for a promise to repay on a specified date or dates, or on demand. They are initially recognized at fair value plus transaction cost, using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment losses.

Impairment provisions are recognized when there is objective evidence (such as significant financial difficulties on the part of the counterparty or default or significant delay in payment) that the company will be unable to collect all of the amounts due under the terms receivable, the amount of such a provision being the difference between the net carrying amount and the present value of the future expected cash flows associated with the impaired receivable. For amounts due from policy holders and reinsurers, which are reported net, such provisions are recorded in a separate allowance account with the loss being recognized in net income. On confirmation that the amounts receivable will not be collectable, the gross carrying value of the asset is written off against the associated provision.

Other Financial Liabilities

Other financial liabilities include all financial liabilities and comprise accounts payable, and other short-term monetary liabilities. These liabilities are initially recognized at fair value net of any transaction costs directly attributable to the issuance of the instrument and subsequently carried at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method, which ensures that any interest expense over the period to repayment is at a constant rate on the balance of the liability carrying in the statement of financial position. Interest expense in this context includes initial transaction costs and premiums payable on redemption, as well as any interest or coupon payable while the liability is outstanding.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment is initially recorded at cost and subsequently measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses, with the exception of land which is not depreciated. Depreciation is recognized in comprehensive income and is provided on a straight-line basis as follows:

Buildings	20	years
Parking lot	20	years
Computer equipment	5	years
Office furniture and equipment	5	years
Generator system	20	years
Vehicles	5	years

Depreciation methods, useful lives and residual values are reviewed annually and adjusted if necessary.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

IMPAIRMENT OF NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS

Non-financial assets are subject to impairment tests whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Where the carrying value of an asset exceeds its recoverable amount, which is the higher of value in use and fair value less costs to sell, the asset is written down accordingly.

For the purpose of assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset.

Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the impairment test is carried out on the asset's cash-generating unit, which is the lowest group of assets in which the asset belongs for which there are separately identifiable cash flows. The company has two cash-generating units for which impairment testing is performed.

Impairment charges are included in net income, except to the extent they reverse gains previously recognized in other comprehensive income.

FACILITY ASSOCIATION

As a member of the Facility Association, the company records its proportionate share of the Association's revenue, expenses, unearned premiums and provision for unpaid claims.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense comprises of current and deferred tax. Current tax and deferred tax are recognized in net income except to the extent that it relates to a business combination, or items recognized directly in equity or in other comprehensive income.

Current income taxes are recognized for the estimated income taxes payable or receivable on taxable income or loss for the current year and any adjustment to income taxes payable in respect of previous years. Current income taxes are determined using tax rates and tax laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the year-end date.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized where the carrying amount of an asset or liability differs from its tax base, except for taxable temporary differences arising on the initial recognition of goodwill and temporary differences arising on the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction which is not a business combination and at the time of the transaction affects neither accounting or taxable profit or loss.

Recognition of deferred tax assets for unused tax losses, tax credits and deductible temporary differences is restricted to those instances where it is probable that future taxable profit will be available against which the deferred tax asset can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

The amount of the deferred tax asset or liability is measured at the amount expected to be recovered from or paid to the taxation authorities. This amount is determined using tax rates and tax laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the year-end date and are expected to apply when the liabilities/ (assets) are settled/(recovered).

PENSION PLAN

The company participates in a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan, however, sufficient information is not available to use defined benefit accounting. Therefore, the company accounts for the plan as if it were a defined contribution plan, recognizing contributions as an expense in the year to which they relate.

The company monitors the information available regarding the funded status of the pension plan and when warranted will record a provision for any calculated deficit to be funded. A provision is not recorded unless the amount can be reasonably estimated.

PROVISIONS

Provisions are recognized for liabilities of uncertain timing or amounts that have arisen as a result of past transactions, including legal, equitable or constructive obligations. The provision is measured at the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligation at the reporting date.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

Foreign currency accounts are translated into Canadian dollars as follows:

At the transaction date, each asset, liability, revenue, and expense denominated in a foreign currency is translated into Canadian dollars by the use of the exchange rate in effect at that date. At the year-end date, unsettled monetary assets and liabilities are translated into Canadian dollars by using the exchange rate in effect at the year-end date and the related translation differences are recognized in net income. Exchange gains and losses on non-monetary available-for-sale financial assets form part of the overall gain or loss recognized in respect of that financial instrument.

Non-monetary assets and liabilities that are measured at historical cost are translated into Canadian dollars by using the exchange rate in effect at the date of the initial transaction and are not subsequently restated. Non-monetary assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value or a revalued amount are translated into Canadian dollars by using the exchange rate in effect at the date the value is determined and the related translation differences are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income consistent with where the gain or loss on the underlying non-monetary asset or liability has been recognized.

LEASED ASSETS

Where substantially all of the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of a leased asset have been transferred to the company (a "finance lease"), the asset is treated as if it had been purchased outright. The amount initially recognized as an asset is the lower of the fair value of the leased property and the present value of the minimum lease payments payable over the term of the lease. The corresponding lease commitment is shown as a liability. Lease payments are analyzed between capital and interest. The interest element is charged to the statement of comprehensive income over the period of the lease and is calculated so that it represents a constant proportion of the lease liability. The capital element reduces the balance owed to the lessor

Where substantially all of the risks and rewards incidental to ownership are not transferred to the company (an "operating lease"), the total rentals payable under the lease are charged to the statement of comprehensive income on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The aggregate benefit of lease incentives is recognized as a reduction of the rental expense over the lease term on a straight-line basis.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

STANDARDS, AMENDMENTS AND INTERPRETATIONS NOT YET EFFECTIVE

Certain new standards, amendments and interpretations have been published that are mandatory for the company's accounting period beginning on or after January 1, 2013 or later periods that the company has decided not to early adopt. The standards, amendments and interpretations that will be relevant to the company are:

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments is part of the IASB's wider project to replace IAS 39 'Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement'. IFRS 9 retains but simplifies the mixed measurement model and established two primary measurement categories for financial assets, amortized cost and fair value. The basis of classification depends on the entity's business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial asset. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015. The company is in the process of evaluating the impact of the new standard.

None of the new standards, interpretations and amendments, which are effective for the company's accounting periods beginning after January 1, 2013 and which have not been adopted early, are expected to have a material effect on the company's future financial statements.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

2. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGMENTS

The company makes estimates and assumptions about the future that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. Estimates and judgments are continually evaluated and based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. In the future, actual experience may differ from these estimates and assumptions.

The effect of a change in an accounting estimate is recognized prospectively by including it in comprehensive income in the period of the change, if the change affects that period only; or in the period of the change and future periods, if the change affects both.

The estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year are discussed below.

Provision for unpaid claims

The estimation of the provision for unpaid claims and the related reinsurers' share are the company's most critical accounting estimates. There are several sources of uncertainty that need to be considered by the company in estimating the amount that will ultimately be paid on these claims. The uncertainty arises because all events affecting the ultimate settlement of claims have not taken place and may not take place for some time. Changes in the estimate of the provision can be caused by receipt of additional claim information, changes in judicial interpretation of contracts, or significant changes in severity or frequency of claims from historical trends. The estimates are based on the company's historical experience and industry experience. More details are included in Note 6.

Income taxes

The company periodically assesses its liabilities and contingencies related to income taxes for all years open to audit based on the latest information available. For matters where it is probable that an adjustment will be made, the company records its best estimate of the tax liability including the related interest and penalties in the current tax provision. Management believes they have adequately provided for the probable outcome of these matters; however, the final outcome may result in a materially different outcome than the amount included in the tax liabilities.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

3. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT CLASSIFICATION

The carrying amount of the company's financial instruments by classification is as follows:

		Fair value		Other		
	Held to	through	Loans and	financial		
	Maturity	profit or loss	receivables	liabilities		Total
December 31, 2012						
Cash and bank	\$ -	\$ 2,936,966	5 -	\$ -	\$	2,936,966
Accrued investment income	-	-	85,681	-		85,681
Investments	364,969	26,502,972	-	-		26,867,941
Due from reinsurer	-	-	16,056	-		16,056
Premiums receivable	-	-	2,350,155	-		2,350,155
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	-	-	-	(512,133)		(512,133)
	\$ 364,969	\$ 29,439,938 \$	\$ 2,451,892	\$ (512,133)	\$	31,744,666
		E-:l		041		
	Hold to	Fair value	Loons and	Other		
	Held to Maturity	Fair value through profit or loss	Loans and receivables	Other financial liabilities		Total
December 31, 2011		through		financial		Total
December 31, 2011 Cash and bank	\$	through	receivables	financial	\$	Total 1,871,068
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	through profit or loss	receivables	financial	\$	
Cash and bank	\$	through profit or loss \$ 1,871,068 \$ -	receivables -	financial	\$	1,871,068
Cash and bank Accrued investment income	\$ Maturity	through profit or loss \$ 1,871,068 \$ -	receivables -	\$ financial	\$	1,871,068 79,528
Cash and bank Accrued investment income Investments	\$ Maturity	through profit or loss \$ 1,871,068 \$ -	receivables - 79,528	\$ financial	\$	1,871,068 79,528 24,823,712
Cash and bank Accrued investment income Investments Due from reinsurer	Maturity	through profit or loss \$ 1,871,068 \$ -	receivables - 79,528 - 3,556	\$ financial	•	1,871,068 79,528 24,823,712 3,556

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

4. INVESTMENTS

The following table provides cost and fair value information of investments by financial instrument classification as as type of security and issuer. The maximum exposure to credit risk would be the fair value as shown below.

	2012				2011			
	Cost		Fair Value		Cost		Fair Value	
loss	:							
\$	770,317	\$	770,317	\$	953,269	\$	956,441	
	1,616,571		1,746,953		1,628,777		1,765,143	
	2,930,590		3,187,763		2,584,164		2,870,002	
	-		-		152,303		155,616	
	5,270,931		5,600,720		5,102,868		5,398,912	
	9,818,092		10,535,436		9,468,112		10,189,673	
	2,406,069		2,644,511		2,297,048		2,285,696	
	571,826		554,975		560,713		533,450	
	7,377,359		7,323,553		7,127,153		7,075,072	
	5,194,991		4,674,180		4,194,991		3,345,185	
	12,572,350		11,997,733		11,322,144		10,420,257	
\$	26,138,654	\$	26,502,972	\$	24,601,286	\$	24,385,517	
\$	339,619	\$	372,467	\$	414,678	\$	455,702	
	25,350		25,350		23,517		23,517	
\$	364,969	\$	397,817	\$	438,195	\$	479,219	
	\$ \$	Cost loss: \$ 770,317 1,616,571 2,930,590 5,270,931 9,818,092 2,406,069 571,826 7,377,359 5,194,991 12,572,350 \$ 26,138,654 \$ 339,619 25,350	Cost loss: \$ 770,317 \$ 1,616,571 2,930,590 5,270,931 9,818,092 2,406,069 571,826 7,377,359 5,194,991 12,572,350 \$ 26,138,654 \$ \$ 339,619 \$ 25,350	Cost Fair Value loss: \$ 770,317 \$ 770,317 1,616,571 1,746,953 2,930,590 3,187,763 5,270,931 5,600,720 9,818,092 10,535,436 2,406,069 2,644,511 571,826 554,975 7,377,359 7,323,553 5,194,991 4,674,180 12,572,350 11,997,733 \$ 26,138,654 \$ 26,502,972 \$ 339,619 \$ 372,467 25,350 25,350	Cost Fair Value loss: \$ 770,317 \$ 770,317 \$ 1,616,571 1,746,953 2,930,590 3,187,763 5,270,931 5,600,720 \$ 5,270,931 5,600,720 9,818,092 10,535,436 \$ 2,406,069 2,644,511 571,826 554,975 \$ 7,377,359 7,323,553 5,194,991 4,674,180 12,572,350 11,997,733 \$ 26,138,654 \$ 26,502,972 \$ \$ 339,619 \$ 372,467 \$ 25,350 25,350	Cost Fair Value Cost loss: \$770,317 \$770,317 \$953,269 1,616,571 1,746,953 1,628,777 2,930,590 3,187,763 2,584,164 - - 152,303 5,270,931 5,600,720 5,102,868 9,818,092 10,535,436 9,468,112 2,406,069 2,644,511 2,297,048 571,826 554,975 560,713 7,377,359 7,323,553 7,127,153 5,194,991 4,674,180 4,194,991 12,572,350 11,997,733 11,322,144 \$26,138,654 \$26,502,972 \$24,601,286 \$339,619 \$372,467 \$414,678 25,350 25,350 23,517	Cost Fair Value Cost loss: \$ 770,317 \$ 770,317 \$ 953,269 \$ 1,616,571 1,746,953 1,628,777 2,930,590 3,187,763 2,584,164 - 152,303 5,270,931 5,600,720 5,102,868 9,818,092 10,535,436 9,468,112 2,406,069 2,644,511 2,297,048 571,826 554,975 560,713 7,377,359 7,323,553 7,127,153 5,194,991 4,674,180 4,194,991 12,572,350 11,997,733 11,322,144 \$ 26,138,654 \$ 26,502,972 \$ 24,601,286 \$ \$ 339,619 \$ 372,467 \$ 414,678 \$ 25,350 25,350 23,517	

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

4. INVESTMENTS (continued)

The following table provides an analysis of investments that are measured subsequent to initial recognition at fair value, grouped into Levels 1 to 3 based on the degree to which the fair value is observable:

- Level 1 fair value measurements are those derived from quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities using the last bid price;
- Level 2 fair value measurements are those derived from inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e. as prices) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices); and
- Level 3 fair value measurements are those derived from valuation techniques that include inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data (unobservable inputs).

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
December 31, 2012				
Short-term Deposits	\$ 770,317 \$	- \$	-	\$ 770,317
Bonds	-	10,535,436	-	10,535,436
Equities	2,644,511	-	-	2,644,511
Mutual funds	554,975	-	-	554,975
Farm mutual pooled funds	-	11,997,733	-	11,997,733
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 3,969,803 \$	22,533,169 \$	-	\$ 26,502,972
December 31, 2011				
Short-term Deposits	\$ 956,441 \$	- \$	_	\$ 956,441
Bonds	-	10,189,673	-	10,189,673
Equities	2,285,696	-	-	2,285,696
Mutual funds	533,450	-	-	533,450
Farm mutual pooled funds	-	10,420,257	-	10,420,257
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 3,775,587 \$	20,609,930 \$	-	\$ 24,385,517

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

4. INVESTMENTS (continued)

Maturity profile of bonds held is as follows:

	With 1 Ye		Over 5 years	Fair value
December 31, 2012 Percent of total	\$ 1,453	3,468 \$ 4,081,423 12 % 35 9		9 \$ 11,678,220 %
December 31, 2011 Percent of total	\$ 1,417	7,040 \$ 3,754,620 12 % 32 9		

The effective interest rate of the short-term deposits and bonds portfolio held is 3.7%, and 3.5% at December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

5. PROPERTY, PLANT & EQUIPMENT

				Propert	y, plant and e	quipment		
	Land	Buildings		Computer quipment	Office furniture and equipment	Generator System	Vehicles	Total
Cost Balance at January 1, 2011 Additions	351,000) \$ 1,124,166 \$ -	82,725 \$ -	5 428,562 70,776		34,754	\$ 58,777 -	\$2,434,439 106,695
Balance on December 31, 2011 Additions Disposals	351,000 - -	1,124,166 - -	82,725 - -	499,338 5,907		34,754 - -	58,777 28,975 28,805	2,541,134 37,441 28,805
Balance on December 31, 2012	351,000	\$ 1,124,166 \$	82,725 \$	5 505,245	\$ 392,933 \$	34,754	\$ 58,947	\$2,549,770
Accumulated depreciatio Balance at January 1, 2011 Depreciation expense	n S - -	\$ 31,112 S 31,111	\$ 2,350 \$ 2,350	376,793 36,026		18,415 1,740	\$ 12,390 11,760	\$ 768,615 102,912
Balance on December 31, 2011 Depreciation expense Disposals	- - -	62,223 31,112	4,700 2,350	412,819 30,794	,	20,155 1,740	24,150 12,900 20,330	871,527 97,622 20,330
Balance on December 31, 2012	S -	\$ 93,335	\$ 7,050 \$	443,613	\$ 366,206 \$	21,895	\$ 16,720	\$ 948,819
Net book value								
December 31, 2011	351,000	\$ 1,061,943	\$ 78,025 \$	86,519	\$ 42,894 \$	14,599	\$ 34,627	\$1,669,607
December 31, 2012	351,000	\$ 1,030,831	\$ 75,675 \$	61,632	\$ 26,727 \$	12,859	\$ 42,227	\$1,600,951

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

6. INSURANCE CONTRACTS

т.	c	•
Due	trom	reinsurer

	2012		2011
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 3,5	56 \$	5,786
Submitted to reinsurer	3,367,3-	49	272,074
Received from reinsurer	(3,354,8	49)	(274,304)
Balance, end of the year	\$ 16,0	56 \$	3,556
Expected settlement			
Within one year	\$ 16,0	56 \$	3,556

At year end, the company reviewed the amounts owing from its reinsurer and determined that no allowance is necessary.

Reinsurers' share of provision for unpaid claims

	2012 2011
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 9,298,699 \$ 9,322,26
New claims reserve Change in prior years reserve Submitted to reinsurer	35,994 474,920 905,231 (226,410 (3,367,349) (272,074
Balance, end of the year	\$ 6,872,575 \$ 9,298,699
Expected settlement	
Within one year	\$ 582,946 \$ 554,420
More than one year	6,289,629 8,744,27
	\$ 6,872,575 \$ 9,298,699

Deferred policy acquisition expenses

	2012	2011
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 514,032	\$ 485,118
Acquisition costs incurred	1,298,714	1,134,986
Expensed during the year	(1,271,071)	(1,106,072)
Balance, end of the year	\$ 541.675	\$ 514 032

Deferred policy acquisition expenses will be recognized as an expense within one year.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

6. INSURANCE CONTRACTS (continued)

Unearned premiums (UEP)

	2012 2011	
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 4,733,612 \$ 4,389	,283
Premiums written	10,725,778 10,171	,955
Premiums earned	(10,487,264) $(9,827,64)$,626)
Balance, end of the year	\$ 4,972,126 \$ 4,733	,612

Insurance Contract Provisions and Related Reinsurance Assets

The following is a summary of the insurance contract provisions and related reinsurance assets at December 31.

	D	ecember 31, 2012	2
	Gross	Reinsurance	Net
Outstanding claims provision			
Short settlement term	\$ 1,121,439	\$ 582,946 \$	538,493
Long settlement term	7,570,834	4,147,629	3,423,205
Facility Association and other residual pools	378,760	-	378,760
	9,071,033	4,730,575	4,340,458
Provision for claims incurred but not reported	4,253,000		2,111,000
	\$ 13,324,033	\$ 6,872,575 \$	6,451,45
	D	ecember 31, 2011	1
	Gross	Reinsurance	Net
Outstanding claims provision			
Short settlement term	\$ 1,270,494	\$ 554,426 \$	716,068
Long settlement term	9,601,497		2,999,224
Facility Association and other residual pools	364,298		364,29
	11,236,289	7,156,699	4,079,590
	4,085,000		1,943,000
Provision for claims incurred but not reported	7,005,000	2,1 12,000	-,,-

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

6. INSURANCE CONTRACTS (continued)

Comments and assumptions for specific claims categories

The ultimate cost of long settlement general liability claims are difficult to predict for several reasons. Claims may not be reported until many years after a policy expires. Changes in the legal environment have created further complications. Court decisions and federal and provincial legislation may dramatically increase the liability between the time a policy is written and associated claims are ultimately resolved. For example, liability for exposure to toxic substances and environmental impairment, which did not appear likely or even exist when the policies were written, has been imposed by legislators and judicial interpretation. Tort liability has been expanded by some jurisdictions to cover defective workmanship. Provisions for such difficult-to-estimate liabilities are established by examining the facts of tendered claims and adjusted in the aggregate for ultimate loss expectations based upon historical experience patterns and current socioeconomic trends.

The company must participate in industry automobile residual pools of business, and recognize a share of this business based on its automobile market share. The company records its share of the liabilities provided by the actuaries of the pools.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

6. INSURANCE CONTRACTS (continued)

Claims and adjustment expenses

Changes in claim liabilities recorded in the balance sheet for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and their impact on claims and adjustment expenses for the two years follow:

	2012	2011
Provision for unpaid claims, beginning of year	\$ 15,321,289	\$ 14,987,857
Increase (decrease) in estimated losses and expenses, for losses occurring in prior years	(823,728)	(1,454,616)
Provision for losses and expenses on claims occurring in the current year	5,486,028	5,610,333
Payment on claims: Current year	(1,918,255)	(2,399,703)
Prior years	(4,741,301)	(1,422,582)
Provision for unpaid claims, end of the year	\$ 13,324,033	\$ 15,321,289

The change in estimate of losses occurring in prior years is due to changes arising from new information received.

Included in payment on claims current year is salaries and benefits in the amount of \$195,306 (2011 - \$200.618).

Provision for unpaid claims and adjustment expenses

The determination of the provision for unpaid claims and adjustment expenses and the related reinsurers' share requires the estimation of three major variables which are the development of claims, reinsurance recoveries, and future investment income.

The Superintendent of the Financial Services Commission of Ontario has required that consideration of future investment income be disregarded except in the evaluation of automobile accident benefit claims.

Claim development

The estimation of claim development involves assessing the future behaviour of claims, taking into consideration the consistency of the company's claim handling procedures, the amount of information available, the characteristics of the line of business from which the claim arises and historical delays in reporting claims. In general, the longer the term required for the settlement of a group of claims the more variable the estimates. Short settlement term claims are those which are expected to be substantially paid within a year of being reported.

The table that follows presents the development of claims payments and the estimated ultimate cost of claims for the claim year 2007 to 2012. The table shows the cumulative amounts paid or estimated to be paid during successive years related to each claim year. The original estimates will be increased or decreased, as more information becomes known about the original claims and overall claim frequency and severity.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

6. INSURANCE CONTRACTS (continued)

Gross Claims							
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
Gross estimate of cumulative	e claims cost						
At the end year of claim	\$ 13,847,861 \$	7,194,015 \$	5,394,576 \$	7,363,585 \$	5,610,330 \$	5,486,028	
One year later	16,674,353	6,334,337	4,946,064	7,866,562	4,731,315	, ,	
Two years later	15,953,942	5,427,428	4,420,497	8,742,890	, ,		
Three years later	15,288,890	5,393,294	3,857,783				
Four years later	14,645,380	5,295,692	, ,				
Five years later	14,630,508						
Current estimate of cumulative	•						
claims cost	14,630,508	5,295,692	3,857,783	8,742,890	4,731,315	5,486,028	42,744,216
Cumulative payments	(13,933,891)	(4,978,332)	(3,389,275)	(4,151,926)	(2,958,025)	(1,918,255)	(31,329,704)
Outstanding claims	696,617	317,360	468,508	4,590,964	1,773,290	3,567,773	11,414,512
Outstanding claims 2006 and	prior						1,909,521

7. PENSION PLAN

The company makes contributions to the Ontario Mutual Insurance Association Pension Plan, which is a multi-employer plan, on behalf of members of its staff. The plan is a defined benefit pension plan for the employees for which the company made contributions during the year on their behalf in the amount of \$66,775 (\$122,468 in 2011).

The plan is accounted for as a defined contribution plan as insufficient information is available to account for the plan as a defined benefit plan. The company is only one of a number of employers that participates in the plan and the financial information provided to the company on the basis of the contractual agreements is usually insufficient to reliably measure the company's proportionate share in the plan assets and liabilities on defined benefit accounting requirements.

The most recent interim funding valuation projects a deficit in the plan. The plan has an agreement with its members to fund the deficit once it has been confirmed through an official funding valuation that is expected to be completed as at December 31, 2013. The most recent official valuation that was completed as at December 31, 2010 required the company to make a lump sum additional contribution in 2011 in the amount of \$59,694.

The company also has a defined contribution pension plan for its agents. The total plan expense in 2012 for the company's defined contribution plan was \$31,692 (2011 - \$33,285).

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

8. INCOME TAXES

The company is subject to income taxes on that portion of its income derived from insuring other than farm related risks.

The significant components of tax expense included in comprehensive income are composed of:

	2012	2011
Current tax expense		
Based on current year taxable income Adjustment for over/under provision in prior periods	\$ 400,000 (255)	\$ 233,000 (2,326)
	\$ 399,745	\$ 230,674
Deferred tax expense		
Origination and reversal of temporary differences Reduction in tax rate	\$ - -	\$ (5,000) 5,000
	\$ -	\$ -

Reasons for the difference between tax expense for the year and the expected income taxes based on the statutory tax rate of 26.5% (2011 - 28.25%) are as follows:

	2012	2011
Comprehensive income for the year	\$ 2,550,271	\$ 1,467,976
Expected income taxes based on the statutory rate of 26.5% (2011 - 28.25%)	675,822	414,703
Income from insuring farm related risks	(225,740)	(139,260)
Non deductible portion of claims liabilities	5,615	5,040
Adjustments related to investments	(20,300)	(11,500)
Over (under) provision in prior years	(245)	(2,326)
Ontario Small Business deduction	(35,000)	(37,500)
Other	(407)	1,517
Total current income tax expense	\$ 399,745	\$ 230,674

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

8. INCOME TAXES (continued)

The movement in 2012 deferred tax liabilities and assets are:

	Opening balance at Jan 1, 2012	Recognize in net income		Closing t Dec 31, 2012
2012 Deferred tax liabilities				
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 64,000	\$ 5,000	\$	69,000
Deferred tax liability	\$ 64,000	\$ 5,000	\$	69,000
Deferred tax assets				
Claims liabilities Other	\$ (48,000) (1,000)	\$ (5,000)	\$	(53,000) (1,000)
Deferred tax asset	(49,000)	(5,000)		(54,000)
2012 net deferred tax liability movement	\$ 15,000	\$ _	\$	15,000

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

8. INCOME TAXES (continued)

9.

The movement in 2011 deferred tax liabilities and assets are:

		Opening balance at Jan 1, 2011		Recognize in net income		Closing at Dec 31, 2011	
2011							
Deferred tax liabilities							
Property, plant and equipment	\$	59,000	\$	5,000	\$	64,000	
Deferred tax liability	\$	59,000	\$	5,000	\$	64,000	
Deferred tax assets							
Claims liabilities Other	\$	(43,000) (1,000)	\$	(5,000)	\$	(48,000) (1,000)	
Deferred tax asset		(44,000)		(5,000)		(49,000)	
2011 net deferred tax liability movement	\$	15,000	\$	-	\$	15,000	
				2012		2011	
Deferred tax liability							
Deferred tax liabilities to be settled after more than 12 months			\$	69,000	\$	64,000	
Deferred tax assets							
Deferred tax assets to be recovered within 12 months Deferred tax assets to be recovered after more than 12 months			\$	(90) (53,910)	\$	(85) (48,915)	
				(54,000)		(49,000)	
Net deferred tax liability			\$	15,000	\$	15,000	
EES, COMMISSIONS AND OTHER ACQUISITION EXPEN	SES						
				2012		2011	
ommissions			\$	1,271,071	\$	1,186,129	

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

10. OTHER OPERATING AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

	2012	2011	
Salaries and benefits	\$ 762,147	3 773,714	
Directors' fees	83,500	61,800	
Occupancy	103,731	115,109	
Depreciation	97,622	102,912	
Computer costs	218,618	217,348	
Advertising and promotion	116,051	40,790	
Premium tax	17,155	20,610	
Professional fees	29,039	18,980	
Insurance	63,633	58,852	
Office	377,565	303,673	
Other	57,767	52,688	
	\$ 1,926,828 \$	5 1,766,476	

11. INVESTMENT INCOME

	20)12	2011	
Interest income	\$ 4	34,500 \$	408,856	
Dividend income	3	37,971	675,969	
Realized gains (losses) on disposal of investments	(39,121)	11,651	
Investment expenses		31,052)	(27,154)	
Change in Unrealized gains (losses) on investments	5	80,087	(378,473)	
Other		4,850	15,002	
	\$ 1,2	87,235 \$	705,851	

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

12. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The company entered into the following transactions with key management personnel, which are defined by IAS 24, Related Party Disclosures, as those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the company, including directors and management:

	2012			2011	
Compensation					
Short-term employee benefits and director's fees Total pension and other post-employment benefits	\$	366,368 24,428	\$	332,838 23,338	
	\$	390,796	\$	356,176	
Premiums	\$	75,537	\$	82,061	
Claims paid	\$	14,707	\$	31,417	

There were no amounts owing to or from key management personnel at December 31, 2012 (2011 - \$Nil).

13. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The company's objectives with respect to capital management are to maintain a capital base that is structured to exceed regulatory requirements and to best utilize capital allocations.

The regulators measure the financial strength of property and casualty insurers using a minimum capital test (MCT). The regulators generally expect property and casualty companies to comply with capital adequacy requirements. This test compares a company's capital against the risk profile of the organization. The risk-based capital adequacy framework assesses the risk of assets, policy liabilities and other exposures by applying various factors. The regulator indicates that the company should produce a minimum MCT of 150%. During the year, the company has consistently exceeded this minimum. The regulator has the authority to request more extensive reporting and can place restrictions on the company's operations if the company falls below this requirement and deemed necessary.

For the purpose of capital management, the company has defined capital as policyholders' surplus.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

14. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT

INSURANCE RISK MANAGEMENT

The principal risk the company faces under insurance contracts is that the actual claims and benefit payments or the timing thereof, differ from expectations. This is influenced by the frequency of claims, severity of claims, actual benefits paid and subsequent development of long-term claims. Therefore, the objective of the company is to ensure that sufficient reserves are available to cover these liabilities.

The above risk exposure is mitigated by diversification across a large portfolio of insurance. The variability of risks is also improved by careful selection and implementation of underwriting strategy guidelines, as well as the use of reinsurance arrangements.

The company purchases reinsurance as part of its risks mitigation program. Retention limits for the excess-of-loss reinsurance vary by product line.

Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the outstanding claims provision and are in accordance with the reinsurance contracts. Although the company has reinsurance arrangements, it is not relieved of its direct obligations to its policyholders and thus a credit exposure exists with respect to ceded insurance, to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet its obligations assumed under such reinsurance agreements.

The company writes insurance primarily over a twelve month duration. The most significant risks arise through high severity, low frequency events such as natural disasters or catastrophes. A concentration of risk may arise from insurance contracts issued in a specific geographic location since all insurance contracts are written in Ontario.

The company manages this risk via its underwriting and reinsurance strategy within an overall risk management framework. Exposures are limited by having documented underwriting limits and criteria. Pricing of property and liability policies are based on assumptions in regard to trends and past experience, in an attempt to correctly match policy revenue with exposed risk. Automobile premiums are subject to approval by the Financial Services Commission of Ontario and therefore may result in a delay in adjusting the pricing to exposed risk; in this case the company has policies regarding renewal and new business accepted. Reinsurance is purchased to mitigate the effect of the potential loss to the company. Reinsurance is placed with Farm Mutual Reinsurance Plan Inc. (FMRP), a Canadian registered reinsurer.

The company follows a policy of underwriting and reinsuring contracts of insurance which, in the main, limit the liability of the company to an amount on any one claim of \$350,000 (2011 - \$300,000) in the event of a property claim, an amount of \$350,000 (2011 - \$300,000) in the event of an automobile claim and \$350,000 (2011 - \$150,000) in the event of a liability claim. For amounts over the respective limits there is a 10% retention to a specified maximum. The company also obtained reinsurance which limits the company's liability to \$1,050,000 (2011 - \$900,000) in the event of a series of claims arising out of a single occurrence. In addition, the company has obtained stop loss reinsurance which limits the liability of all claims in a specific year to a 80% of gross net earned premiums for property and 100% for automobile and liability.

The company is exposed to a pricing risk to the extent that unearned premiums are insufficient to meet the related future policy costs. Evaluation is performed regularly to estimate future claims costs, related expenses, and expected profit in relation to unearned premiums. There was no premium deficiency at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

15. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

INSURANCE RISK MANAGEMENT (cont'd)

The risks associated with insurance contracts are complex and subject to a number of variables which complicate quantitative sensitivity analysis. The company's various techniques based on past claims development experience to quantify these sensitivities. This includes indicators such as average claim cost, amount of claims occurrence, expected loss ratios and claims development as described in Note 6.

Results of sensitivity testing based on expected loss ratios are as follows, shown gross and net of reinsurance as impact on pre-tax income:

	Prope	Property claims Auto claims		aims	Liability Claims		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
5% increase in loss ratio							
Gross	\$ (227,449)	\$ (212,058)	\$ (254,418)	\$ (244,199) \$	(47,405) \$	(46,074)	
Net	\$ (183,907)	\$ (169,049)	\$ (186,249)	\$ (178,278) \$	(28,872) \$	(21,644)	
5% decrease in loss ratio							
Gross	\$ 227,449	\$ 212,058	\$ 254,418	\$ 244,199 \$	47,405 \$	46,074	
Net	\$ 183,907	\$ 169,049	\$ 186,249	\$ 178,278 \$	28,872 \$	21,644	

There have been no significant changes from the previous year in the exposure to risk or policies, procedures and methods used to measure the risk.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

15. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

CREDIT RISK

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss to the company if a debtor fails to make payments of interest and principal when due. The company is exposed to this risk relating to its debt holdings in its investment portfolio and the reliance on reinsurers to make payment when certain loss conditions are met.

The company's investment policy puts limits on the bond portfolio including portfolio composition limits, issuer type limits, bond quality limits, aggregate issuer limits, corporate sector limits and general guidelines for geographic exposure. The bond portfolio includes 98% (December 31, 2011 - 98%) of bonds rated A or better. All fixed income portfolios are measured for performance on a quarterly basis and monitored by management on a monthly basis.

Reinsurance is placed with Farm Mutual Reinsurance Plan Inc. (FMRP), a Canadian registered reinsurer. Management monitors the creditworthiness of FMRP by reviewing their annual financial statements and through ongoing communications. Reinsurance treaties are reviewed annually by management prior to renewal of the reinsurance contract.

Premiums receivable are short-term in nature consisting of a large number of policyholders, and are not subject to material credit risk. Regular review of outstanding receivables is performed to ensure credit worthiness.

The maximum exposure to investment credit risk is outlined in note 4.

There have been no significant changes from the previous year in the exposure to risk or policies procedures and methods used to measure the risk.

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate as a result of market factors. Market factors include three types of risk: currency risk, interest rate risk, and equity risk.

The company's investment policy operates within the guidelines of the Insurance Act. An investment policy is in place and its application is monitored by the Investment Committee and the Board of Directors. Diversification techniques are utilized to minimize risk. The policy limits the investment in any one corporate issuer to a maximum of 3% of the company's bond portfolio or \$300,000 for bonds rated A.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

15. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

a) CURRENCY RISK

Currency risk relates to the company operating in different currencies and converting non Canadian earnings at different points in time at different foreign exchange levels when adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates occur.

The company's foreign exchange risk is related to stock holdings which are limited to United States equities in sectors which are not readily available in Canada. The company investment policy does not permit investment in bonds denominated in a foreign currency. Foreign currency changes are monitored by the investment committee and holdings are adjusted when offside of the investment policy.

There have been no significant changes from the previous year in the exposure to risk or policies procedures and methods used to measure the risk.

b) INTEREST RATE RISK

Interest rate risk is the potential for financial loss caused by fluctuations in fair value or future cash flows of financial instruments because of changes in market interest rates.

The company is exposed to this risk through its interest bearing investments (Bankers Acceptances, T-Bills, GICs, Bonds, and Farm mutual pooled funds - Canadian fixed income).

Historical data and current information is used to profile the ultimate claims settlement pattern by class of insurance, which is then used in a broad sense to develop an investment policy and strategy. However, because a significant portion of the company's assets relate to its capital rather than liabilities, the value of its interest rate based assets exceeds its interest rate based liabilities. As a result, generally, the company's investment income will move with interest rates over the medium to long-term with short-term interest rate fluctuations creating unrealized gain or losses in other comprehensive income. There are no occurrences where interest would be charged on liabilities; therefore, little protection is needed to ensure the fair market value of assets will be offset by a similar change in liabilities due to an interest rate change.

At December 31, 2012, a 1% move in interest rates, with all other variables held constant, could impact the market value of bonds by \$465,000 (2011 - \$479,000). A similar move in rates could impact the market value of the Canadian fixed income farm mutual pooled fund by \$460,000 (2011 - \$440,000). These changes would be recognized in comprehensive income.

There have been no significant changes from the previous year in the exposure to risk or policies, procedures and methods used to measure the risk.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2012

15. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

c) EQUITY RISK

Equity risk is the uncertainty associated with the valuation of assets arising from changes in equity markets. The company is exposed to this risk through its equity holdings within its investment portfolio.

The company's portfolio includes Canadian equities with fair values that move with the Toronto Stock Exchange Composite Index and United States equities with fair values that move with the S&P 500 index. At December 31, 2012 a 10% movement in the stock markets with all other variables held constant would have an estimated affect on the fair values of the company's Canadian common and United States common of \$830,000 (2011 - \$610,000). This change would be recognized in comprehensive income.

The company's investment policy limits investment in preferred and common shares to a maximum of 25% of the market value of the portfolio with a target of 15%. The company also limits the amount invested in an individual equity to 10% of the stock portfolio. The company only invests in equities which are contained in the S&P/TSX 60.

Equities are monitored by the investment committee and holdings are adjusted following each quarter if the investments are offside of the investment policy.

LIQUIDITY RISK

Liquidity risk is the risk that the company will not be able to meet all cash outflow obligations as they come due. The company mitigates this risk by monitoring cash activities and expected outflows. The company's current liabilities arise as claims are made. The company does not have material liabilities that can be called unexpectedly at the demand of a lender or client. The company has no material commitments for capital expenditures and there is no need for such expenditures in the normal course of business. Claim payments are funded by current operating cash flow including investment income.

There have been no significant changes from the previous year in the exposure to risk or policies, procedures and methods to measure the risk.